

From: Renee Gonzalez
To: Kathleen Abernathy
Date: Sat, Jan 25, 2003 12:38 AM
Subject: Area Code 909/310 Warning

99.200
ORIGINAL
EX PARTE OR LATE FILED
RECEIVED

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

As a wireless phone customer, I am contacting you to express my anger concerning the proposed wireless number take away in the 310 and 909 area codes in California.

FEB 3 2003
W7 C-

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) wants to take away my mobile phone number, and those of millions of others in Southern California, and give them to new landline telephone customers. If the CPUC proposal is approved, every affected wireless phone will have to have its number reprogrammed. I will be forced to notify my friends, family, customers and clients of the number change-- all at my own expense. [If you can estimate the significant time and expense such a change would cost, insert it here.]

And, I'm not the only one who will have to face this ordeal. The San Bernadino Sun writes, roughly 3 million customers will be affected by this take away scheme, which is not only anti-consumer, but also anti-competitive and discriminatory. I will be forced to dial ten digits just to reach local, wireline phones, and vice versa, while only wireline customers would retain seven-digit dialing, and then only to call one another. This simply isn't fair; everyone should be treated equally.

There is an alternative. An all services overlay would allow me and every other existing customer to keep our numbers, and wireless and wireline customers would be treated the same.

Wireless users should not be singled out in order to solve the states number crunch, I urge you to consider an all services overlay.

Sincerely,

Renee Gonzalez
1339 N. Vineyard ave.
Ontario, CA 91764

Ms. Kathleen Abernathy
List A3001E

2